LEE LITIGATION GROUP, PLLC

C.K. Lee (CL 4086) Anne Seelig (AS 3976) 148 West 24th Street, Eighth Floor New York, NY 10011

Tel.: 212-465-1188 Fax: 212-465-1181

Attorneys for Plaintiff, FLSA Collective

Plaintiffs, and the Class

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ENOCH BROWN, on behalf of himself, FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class,

Case No.:

Plaintiff,

V.

CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

ABBOTT TRUCKING INC, and RICKY ABBOTT,

Jury Trial Demanded

Defendants.

Plaintiff ENOCH BROWN ("Plaintiff BROWN" or "Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and others similarly situated, by and through his undersigned attorneys, hereby files this Complaint against Defendants, ABBOTT TRUCKING INC ("Corporate Defendant"), and RICKY ABBOTT ("Individual Defendant," and collectively with the Corporate Defendant, the "Defendants") and states as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff alleges, pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq. ("FLSA"), that he and others similarly situated are entitled to recover from

Defendants: (1) unpaid wages, including overtime, due to a fixed salary; (2) liquidated damages; and (3) attorneys' fees and costs.

- 2. Plaintiff further alleges that, pursuant to the New York Labor Law ("NYLL"), that he and other similarly situated are entitled to recover from Defendants: (1) unpaid wages, including overtime, due to a fixed salary; (2) statutory penalties; (3) liquidated damages; and (4) attorneys' fees and costs.
- 3. Plaintiff further alleges that, pursuant to New York State Human Rights Law N.Y. Exec. Law § 296 ("NYSHRL"), Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants for race, and national origin discrimination: (1) back wages; (2) compensatory and punitive damages; and (3) attorneys' fees and costs.
- Plaintiff further alleges that, pursuant to New York City Human Rights Law 4. Administrative Code of City of NY § 8-107 ("NYCHRL"), Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants for race, and national origin discrimination: (1) back wages; (2) compensatory and punitive damages; and (3) attorneys' fees and costs.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over this controversy pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337 and 1343, and has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
 - 6. Venue is proper in the Eastern District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff ENOCH BROWN is a resident of Kings County, New York.
- 8. Defendants own and operate a trucking company located at 26-47 94th Street, Elmhurst, NY 11369.

- 9. Corporate Defendant ABBOTT TRUCKING INC, is a domestic business corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York, with its principal place of business located at 26-47 94th Street, Elmhurst, NY 11369, and an address of service of process located at c/o United States Corporation Agents, Inc., 7014 13th Avenue, Suite 202, Brooklyn, NY 11228.
- Individual Defendant RICKY ABBOTT is the President and Owner of Corporate 10. Defendant and has operational control of Corporate Defendant. Individual Defendant RICKY ABBOTT exercises the power to (and also delegate to managers and supervisors the power to: (i) fire and hire employees; (ii) supervise and control employee work schedules; (iii) determine the rate and method of pay; (iv) maintain employment records; and (v) otherwise affect the quality, terms and conditions of employment for Plaintiff, FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and Class Members of Corporate Defendant. Individual Defendant RICKY ABBOTT has authority over all employeerelated decisions, including payroll, personnel, and wage and hour policies concerning Plaintiff. FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and Class Members. Individual Defendant RICKY ABBOTT additionally exercises the authority to fire and hire, supervise and control work schedules, determine rate and method of pay, maintain employment records, and otherwise affect the terms and conditions of employment for managerial employees who directly supervise Plaintiff, FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and Class Members. Individual Defendant RICKY ABBOTT ensures that managers implement Defendants' employment policies and pay practices and directs employees to effectively complete their job duties so that Corporate Defendant is operating efficiently and profitably.
- 11. At all relevant times, Corporate Defendant was and continues to be an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL and the regulations thereunder.

12. At all relevant times, the work performed by Plaintiff, FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and Class Members were directly essential to the business operated by Defendants.

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 13. Plaintiff brings claims for relief as a collective action pursuant to FLSA Section 16(b), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all non-exempt employees, including, but not limited to drivers, technicians, and dispatchers among others, employed by Defendants at ABBOTT TRUCKING INC on or after the date that is six (6) years before the filing of the Complaint in this case as defined herein ("FLSA Collective Plaintiffs").
- 14. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are and have been similarly situated, have had substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, and are and have been subjected to Defendants' decisions, policies, plans, programs, practices, procedures, protocols, routines, and rules, all culminating in a willful failure and refusal to (i) pay wages, including overtime, due to an improper fixed salary. The claims of Plaintiff stated herein are essentially the same as those of FLSA Collective Plaintiffs.
- 15. The claims for relief are properly brought under and maintained as an opt-in collective action pursuant to § 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are readily ascertainable. For purposes of notice and other purposes related to this action, their names and addresses are readily available from Defendants. Notice can be provided to the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs via first class mail to the last address known to Defendants.

RULE 23 CLASS ALLEGATIONS – NEW YORK

16. Plaintiff brings claims for relief pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("F.R.C.P.") Rule 23, on behalf of all non-exempt employees, including, but not limited to drivers,

technicians, and dispatchers among others, employed by Defendants on or after the date that is six years before the filing of the Complaint in this case as defined herein (the "Class Period").

- All said persons, including Plaintiff, are referred to herein as the "Class." The Class 17. Members are readily ascertainable. The number and identity of the Class Members are determinable from the records of Defendants. The hours assigned and worked, the position held, and rates of pay for each Class Member are also determinable from Defendants' records. For purposes of notice and other purposes related to this action, their names and addresses are readily available from Defendants. Notice can be provided by means permissible under F.R.C.P. 23.
- 18. The proposed Class is so numerous that a joinder of all members is impracticable. and the disposition of their claims as a class will benefit the parties and the Court. Although the precise number of such persons is unknown, the facts on which the calculation of that number are presently within the sole control of Defendants, there is no doubt that there are more than forty (40) members of the Class.
- Plaintiff's claims are typical of those claims, which could be alleged by any 19. member of the Class, and the relief sought is typical of the relief, which would be sought by each member of the Class in separate actions. All the Class Members were subject to the same corporate practices of Defendants, as alleged herein, of (i) failing to pay wages, including overtime, due to an improper fixed salary; (ii) failing to provide proper wage statements per requirements of the NYLL; and (iii) failing to provide wage and hour notices, at date of hiring and annually, per requirements of the NYLL.
- 20. Defendants' corporate-wide policies and practices affected all Class Members similarly, and Defendants benefited from the same type of unfair and/or wrongful acts as to each

Class member. Plaintiff and other Class Members sustained similar losses, injuries and damages arising from the same unlawful policies, practices and procedures.

- 21. Plaintiff is able to fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and has no interests antagonistic to the Class. Plaintiff is represented by attorneys who are experienced and competent in both class action litigation and employment litigation and have previously represented plaintiffs in wage and hour cases.
- 22. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy – particularly in the context of the wage and hour litigation where individual class members lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit against corporate defendant. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of efforts and expense that numerous individual actions engender. Because losses, injuries and damages suffered by each of the individual Class members are small in the sense pertinent to a class action analysis, the expenses and burden of individual litigation would make it extremely difficult or impossible for the individual Class members to redress the wrongs done to them. On the other hand, important public interests will be served by addressing the matter as a class action. The adjudication of individual litigation claims would result in a great expenditure of Court and public resources; however, treating the claims as a class action would result in a significant saving of these costs. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create a risk of inconsistent and/or varying adjudications with respect to the individual members of the Class, establishing incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant and resulting in the impairment of class members' rights and the disposition of their interests through actions to which they were not parties. The issues in this action can be decided

by means of common, class-wide proof. In addition, if appropriate, the Court can, and is empowered to, fashion methods to efficiently manage this action as a class action.

- 23. Defendants and other employers throughout the state violate the NYLL. Current employees are often afraid to assert their rights out of fear of direct or indirect retaliation. Former employees are fearful of bringing claims because doing so can harm their employment, future employment, and future efforts to secure employment. Class actions provide class members who are not named in the Complaint a degree of anonymity, which allows for the vindication of their rights while eliminating or reducing these risks.
- 24. There are questions of law and fact common to the Class which predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members, including:
 - (a) Whether Defendants employed Plaintiff and Class Members within the meaning of the NYLL;
 - (b) What are and were the policies, practices, programs, procedures, protocols and plans of Defendants regarding the types of work and labor for which Defendants did not properly pay Plaintiff and the Class Members;
 - (c) At what common rate, or rates subject to common methods of calculation, was and are Defendants required to pay Plaintiff and Class Members for their work;
 - (d) Whether Defendants properly notified Plaintiff and Class Members of their regular hourly rate and overtime rate;
 - (e) Whether Defendants paid Plaintiff and Class Members the proper overtime compensation under the NYLL;
 - (f) Whether Defendants provided proper wage statements to Plaintiff and Class Members per requirements of the NYLL; and

(g) Whether Defendants provided wage and hour notices to Plaintiff and Class Members, at date of hiring and annually, per requirements of the NYLL.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Wage and Hour Claim:

- 25. In or around December 2016, Plaintiff ENOCH BROWN was hired by Defendants to work as a driver out of a building located in Long Island City Queens. For the last three (3) years of his employment, when the company moved to Canarsie, Plaintiff worked out of a building located at 570 East 108th Street, Brooklyn, NY 11236. Plaintiff's employment with Defendants was terminated in or around December 2021.
- 26. Throughout his employment, Plaintiff was regularly scheduled to work four (4) days from 9:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., and one (1) day a week from 9:30 am to 3:00 p.m., for a total of thirty-nine and a half (39.5) hours per week. However, Plaintiff was actually working more than forty (40) hours per week. Plaintiff was actually working four (4) days from 9:30 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and one (1) day a week from 9:30 am to 5:30 p.m., for a total of forty-six (46) hours per week.
- 27. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was not paid overtime premium for hours worked more than forty (40) hours per week. Defendants knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff the proper overtime premium rate of time and one half of regular hourly rate for each hour exceeding forty (40) hours per workweek.
- 28. Throughout his employment by Defendants, Plaintiff was compensated at a fixed salary of two hundred dollars (\$200.00) per day, or approximately twenty-one dollars and seventy-four cents (\$21.74) per hour, regardless of how many hours he worked each workweek. However, there was never any agreement that Plaintiff's fixed weekly salary was intended to cover the

overtime hours in excess of forty (40) that he worked. At all times, Plaintiff BROWN was paid by weekly checks.

- 29. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff was compensated for his work by Defendants at a fixed salary rate for all hours worked. However, there was never any agreement between Plaintiff and Defendants that this fixed salary was to cover Plaintiff's overtime hours. At all times, Plaintiff was scheduled to work approximately forty-six (46) hours per week. However, during these periods of employment by Defendants, Plaintiff did not receive any overtime premium payments as required under the FLSA and NYLL. Based on his observations and conversations with other employees, all FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and Class Members similarly were paid at a fixed salary rate and failed to receive any overtime wages for hours worked over forty (40) per week.
- 30. The wage statements provided by Defendants to Plaintiff were fraudulent at all times as they failed to reflect Plaintiff's properly owed wages. Throughout his employment, Plaintiff did not receive proper wage statements as he was paid on a fixed salary basis. The wage statements did not reflect any hours worked, including those hours in excess of forty (40). Similarly, FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and Class Members received fraudulent pay stubs from Defendants that showed only their improper fixed salary wages and not the wages for all their hours worked.
- 31. Defendants failed to provide wage and hour notices, at the date of hiring and annually thereafter, to all their employees in violation of the requirements of the NYLL.
- 32. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying Plaintiff, FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and Class Members their proper overtime due to an improper fixed salary.

- 33. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not providing proper wage statements to all their employees as required under the NYLL.
- 34. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not providing wage and hour notices to Plaintiff and Class Members, at the beginning of employment and annually thereafter, in violation of the NYLL.

Discrimination Claims

- 35. Throughout his employment, Plaintiff BROWN suffered from Defendants permitting employees and managers to foster a hostile work environment based on Plaintiff's race and national origin. Additionally, Defendants further allowed for a hostile work environment when Plaintiff Brown's supervisors and managers constantly abused and harassed Plaintiff BROWN and other Jamaican and African American employees.
- 36. Shortly after Plaintiff was hired, his supervisors and managers began to call Plaintiff names and harassed him because they wanted to get him fired. This was when the harassment and discrimination began to occur against Plaintiff.
- 37. Throughout his employment, Plaintiff BROWN suffered because he was Jamaican. He was constantly, on a daily basis, called "slow Jamaican," "monkey," and "faggot/homosexual" by his managers. Plaintiff's supervisors, including Tim [LNU] and Keisha [LNU], and owner Ricky Abbott would make these comments constantly to induce Plaintiff to quit.
- 38. Plaintiff, and other Jamaican and African American co-workers, including Delotto [LNU], suffered from adverse employment actions because of their race and national origin. Specifically, Plaintiff was never granted his requests for a different schedule unlike his non-Jamaican and African American co-workers. Plaintiff requested a different shift because he needed to pick up his son from school. However, Defendants refused to accommodate for this request.

Ricky Abbott told him, "If you don't like it, there's the door." Plaintiff was given his shift and unable to change the shift because nobody else wanted to work his shift. Plaintiff would regularly finish work at 7:00 p.m. when all his other co-workers ended at 3:00 p.m. or 4:00 p.m.

- 39. When Plaintiff complained about this to building managers as well as owner Ricky Abbott nothing was done. Ultimately, Plaintiff's complaints about discrimination and harassment were a factor that led to his termination.
- 40. This action is within the statute of limitations set by the New York State Human Rights Law ("NYSHRL") and the New York City Human Rights Law ("NYCHRL") pursuant to Governor Cuomo's Executive Order No. 202.8¹ tolling the statute of limitations for applicable claims starting March 20, 2020, and the nine Executive Orders which extended Executive Order No. 202.8's tolling of the statute of limitations through November 3, 2020.²
- 41. Plaintiff retained Lee Litigation Group, PLLC to represent Plaintiff, FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and Class Members in this litigation and has agreed to pay the firm a reasonable fee for its services.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

COUNT I

VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

- 42. Plaintiff realleges and reavers Paragraphs 1 through 41 of this Class and Collective Action Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 43. At all relevant times, Defendants were and continue to be employers engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the

11

¹ https://www.governor.ny.gov/sites/default/files/atoms/files/EO_202.8.pdf

² See Brash v. Richards, 2021 NY Slip Op 3436

- FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a). Further, Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are covered individuals within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).
- 44. At all relevant times, Defendants employed Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 45. At all relevant times, each Corporate Defendant had gross annual revenues in excess of \$500,000.
- 46. At all relevant times, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs for all hours worked, including overtime due under the FLSA.
- 47. At all relevant times, the Defendants had a policy and practice that failed to pay overtime compensation at the statutory rate of time and one-half to Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek due to a fixed salary.
- 48. Records, if any, concerning the number of hours worked by Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and the actual compensation paid to Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs should be in the possession and custody of the Defendants. Plaintiff intends to obtain these records by appropriate discovery proceedings to be taken promptly in this case and, if necessary, will then seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to set forth the precise amount due.
- 49. Defendants knew of and/or showed a willful disregard for the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by their intentional failure to compensate Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs for every hour they worked, including overtime hours.
- 50. Defendants failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs of their rights under the FLSA.

- 51. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful disregard of the FLSA, Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are entitled to liquidated (i.e. double) damages pursuant to the FLSA.
- 52. Due to the intentional, willful, and unlawful acts of Defendants, Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs suffered damages in an amount not presently ascertainable of unpaid wages, including overtime, due to a fixed salary; plus an equal amount as liquidated damages.
- 53. Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

COUNT II

VIOLATION OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

- 54. Plaintiff realleges and reavers Paragraphs 1 through 53 of this Class and Collective Action Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 55. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and Class Members were employed by Defendants within the meaning of the NYLL §§ 2 and 651.
- 56. At all relevant times, Defendants had a policy and practice of failing to pay Plaintiff and Class Members the full amount of wages due including overtime under the NYLL.
- 57. Defendants willfully violated the rights of Plaintiff and Class Members by failing to pay them overtime compensation at the rate of not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek due to a fixed salary.
- 58. Defendants knowingly and willfully failed to provide proper wage statements to Plaintiff and Class Members with every wage payment, as required by NYLL § 195(3). Defendants provided fraudulent wage statements that failed to accurately reflect the proper compensation owed and hours worked by Plaintiff and Class Members.

- 59. Defendants knowingly and willfully failed to provide wage and hour notices, at the date of hiring and annually thereafter, to Plaintiff and Class Members, as required by NYLL § 195(1).
- 60. Due to the Defendants' NYLL violations, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to recover from Defendants their unpaid wages, including overtime, due to a fixed salary; reasonable attorneys' fees; liquidated damages; statutory penalties; reasonable attorneys' fees; and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to NYLL.

COUNT III

RACE AND NATIONAL ORIGIN DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE NEW YORK STATE HUMAN RIGHTS LAW

(New York State Executive Law § 296 et seq.)

- 61. Plaintiff BROWN realleges and reavers Paragraphs 1 through 60 of this Class and Collective Action Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 62. The New York State Human Rights Law ("NYSHRL") prohibits discrimination in the terms conditions, and privileges of employment, and the retaliation therefor, on the basis of an individual's race and national origin.
- 63. Plaintiff is an employee and a qualified person within the meaning of the NYSHRL and Defendants are covered employees under the NYSHRL. At all relevant times, Plaintiff is Jamaican.
- 64. Defendants operated a business that discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of the NYSHRL by subjecting Plaintiff to a hostile work environment, in the form of failing to address the constant harassment and abuse made against Plaintiff on the basis of his race and national origin. Defendants further denied employment privileges and ultimately terminated Plaintiff's employment due to discrimination and harassment claims.

- 65. As a result of Defendants' unlawful discriminatory practices, Plaintiff sustained injury including economic damages, and past and further physical and emotional distress.
- 66. Due to Defendants' violations under the NYSHRL, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants: (1) back wages; (2) compensatory and punitive damages; and (3) attorneys' fees and costs.

COUNT IV

RACE AND NATIONAL ORIGIN DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF NEW YORK CITY HUMAN RIGHTS LAW

- 67. Plaintiff BROWN realleges and reavers Paragraphs 1 through 66 of this Class and Collective Action Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 68. The New York City Human Right Law ("NYCHRL") prohibits discrimination in the terms, conditions, and privileges of employment, and the retaliation thereof, on the basis of an individual's race and national origin.
- 69. Defendants have and have had at all relevant times herein, at least four (4) persons in their employment. Plaintiff is an employee and a qualified person within the meaning of the NYCHRL and Defendants are covered employees under the NYCHRL. At all relevant times, Plaintiff is Jamaican.
- 70. Defendants operated a business that discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of the NYSHRL by subjecting Plaintiff to a hostile work environment, in the form of failing to address the constant harassment and abuse made against Plaintiff on the basis of his race and national origin. Defendants further denied employment privileges and ultimately terminated Plaintiff's employment due to discrimination and harassment claims.

- 71. As a result of Defendants' unlawful employment practices, Plaintiff sustained injury, including economic damages, the past and future physical and emotion distress, and costs of bringing this action.
- 72. Due to Defendants' violation under the NYCHRL, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants: (1) back wages; (2) compensatory and punitive damages; and (3) attorneys' fees and costs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and Class Members, respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- a. A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA, NYLL, NYSHRL, and NYCHRL;
- b. An injunction against Defendants and its officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives and any and all persons acting in concert with them as provided by law, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies and patterns set forth herein;
- c. An award of unpaid wages, including overtime, due to a fixed salary due under the FLSA and NYLL;
- d. An award of statutory penalties as a result of Defendants' failure to comply with NYLL
 wage notice and wage statement requirements;
- e. An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants' willful failure to pay compensation for all hours worked and overtime compensation for all hours worked over forty (40) per workweek, pursuant to the FLSA;

- f. An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants' willful failure to pay compensation for all hours worked and overtime compensation for all hours worked over forty (40) per workweek, pursuant to the NYLL;
- g. An award of back pay and compensatory damages due under the NYSHRL and NYCHRL;
- h. An award of punitive damages under the NYSHRL and NYCHRL;
- i. An award of prejudgment and post judgment interest, costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees;
- j. Designation of Plaintiff as Representative of the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs;
- k. Designation of this action as a class action pursuant to F.R.C.P. 23;
- 1. Designation of Plaintiff as Representative of the Class; and
- m. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable as of right by jury.

Dated: April 18, 2022

Respectfully submitted, By: <u>/s/ C.K. Lee</u>

C.K. Lee, Esq.

LEE LITIGATION GROUP, PLLC

C.K. Lee (CL 4086) Anne Seelig (AS 3976)

148 West 24th Street, 8th Floor

New York, NY 10011 Tel.: 212-465-1188

Fax: 212-465-1181

Attorneys for Plaintiff,

FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class